

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,510	03/29/2004	Stephen E. O'Rourke	27702/10049B	1963
	7590 01/05/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN		EXAM	INER
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 YOON, TAE H SEARS TOWER		TAE H		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1714	<u>.</u> .
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/811,510	O'ROURKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Tae H. Yoon	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statury and the provided of the mailing date of the maximum date of the mailing date of the mailing date of the maximum date o	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	—· is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		Iters, prosecution as to the merit	ts is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	.0 10			
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			,			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or alaction requirement					
oj Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the 	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		Analization No.				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
		received in this National Stage	Î.			
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t ragained	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	. received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/811,510

Art Unit: 1714

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-26 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-58 of U.S. Patent No. 7,122,592.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instantly recited "a composition <u>comprising</u>" permits the presence of an adhesive resin of said patent.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

 R^6 and R^8 are defined as alkyl radical such as $C_3 - C_{24}$ alkyl radical in claims 1, 2 and 24, but said alkyl radical cannot contain 1 to 3 carbon-to-carbon double bonds. Thus, claims are indefinite. The recited CAS # in claim 17 is indefinite and a recitation of a dimer acid structure is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714